Real numbers will be approximated by numbers rounded to a fixed number of decimal or binary digits. We will look at these for both our six-digit  $\pm \text{EENMMM}$  representation and the double-precision floating-point representation (double), stored using 8 bytes or 64 bits.

Recall that four bits can be written as a hexadecimal digit, with the following substitutions:

0 0000 1 0001 2 0010 3 0011 4 0100 5 0101 6 0110 7 0111 8 1000 9 1001 a 1010 b 1011 c 1100 d 1101 e 1110 f 1111

For example,  $0 \times cc = 204$  in binary is 1110 1100 1110 0010 0000 0100 or 1110110011000100000100. As a double is stored using 8 bytes, it can be written using sixteen hexadecimal digits. For example, we will see why  $\pi$  is stored as either +493142 or  $0 \times 400921$ fb54442d18.

The sign of a number is stored using an explicit sign in our  $\pm EENMMM$  representation, and as the first bit of double, where 0 represents a positive number and 1 represents a negative number.

# 1 Scientific notation and normal numbers

In the  $\pm \text{EENMMM}$  representation, each real number is rounded to four decimal digits, while for double, it is fifty-three bits.

After the number is rounded, it is written in scientific notation, with one digit (decimal or binary) to the left of the radix point, which is called the *leading digit*. To ensure that there is a unique representation, we require that the leading digit is not zero. This is a normal form, and therefore numbers where the stored value has a non-zero leading digit are called normal numbers.

The digits after the radix point are called either the *fractional part* or the *mantissa*. Together, the leading digit and mantissa are called the *significand*, because these represent the significant digits. The significand is multiplied by  $10^e$  and  $2^e$ , respectively, for an appropriate integer exponent e. Thus, our numbers are in the format

 $n.m_1m_2m_3 \times 10^e$  and  $1.b_1b_2b_3b_4\cdots b_{52} \times 2^e$ .

Scientific notation requires that the digit n be non-zero, and the only non-zero bit is 1.

For the  $\pm$ EENMMM representation, the leading digit and three digits of the mantissa are stored in the last four digits NMMM. Because  $n.m_1m_2m_3$  is in scientific notation, the N cannot be 0. For double, in scientific notation, we have  $1.b_1b_2b_3b_4\cdots b_{52}$ , so the last 52 bits store the mantissa. We do not store the leading bit 1, because we assume it is there, and thus, we can store an extra bit of precision in the mantissa.

Remark

One of the most common mistakes is to forget that the leading bit 1 is not stored, but implied.

In the  $\pm$ EENMMM representation, two decimal digits are used to store the exponent, while for double, it is eleven bits, stored immediately after the sign bit. This allows 100 and  $2^{1}1 = 2048$  possible exponents, respectively. For double, the sign bit and the exponent constitute 12 bits, and therefore may be read by looking at the first three hexadecimal digits.

Rather than storing the exponent in tens- and twos-complement, we will add a *bias* to the exponent, and then store that number. The bias will be, in both cases, the one subtracted from the maximum number of exponents divided by two, resulting in 49 and 1023 = 0x3ff = 0b01111111111, respectively.

Consequently, if the exponent is 0, the stored value will be 49 and 0x3ff, respectively.

In both cases, the lowest exponent is reserved for de-normalized numbers (including zero) and the largest exponent is reserved for infinity and not-a-number (or the result of undefined operations).

Thus, the exponents 01 through 98 can store exponents  $10^{-48}$  to  $10^{49}$ , and the exponents 0x001 through 0xffe = 0b11111111110 can store  $2^{-1022}$  and  $2^{1023}$ .

respectively, although it is easiest to think of these as numbers in the ranges  $[10^{-48}, 10^{50})$  and  $[2^{-1022}, 2^{1024})$ .

#### Examples

1	+491000	0x3ff0000000000000
$^{-1}$	-491000	0xbff0000000000000
2	+492000	0x40000000000000000
-0.5	-485000	0xbfe0000000000000

Table 1: Examples

The value 1970 would be stored as +521970, and as 1970 in binary is  $11110110010_2$ , we have that it equals  $1.1110110010_2 \times 2^{10}$ . The mantissa is 11101100100000...0, and converting each four bits to a hexadecimal character, we get ec80000000000. Adding a decimal 10 to 3ff yields 409, so 1970 is stored as 409ec80000000000.

On the other hand, 0x406ece000000000 has an exponent seven higher than 0x3ff, so the power is  $2^7$ . The mantissa is 111011001110, so the significand is 1.111011001110<sub>2</sub>, so the number is 1.111011001110<sub>2</sub> ×  $2^7$  or 11110110.01110<sub>2</sub>. The integer component is 246, and the fractional part is  $\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{16} = \frac{7}{16}$  or 0.4375. Thus, 0x406ece000000000 stores the value 246.4375.

Finally,  $\pi \approx 3.14159265358979323846$ , and rounded to four digits is  $3.142 \times 10^{0}$ , so this is stored as +493142. If we write  $\pi$  in binary to 80 bits after the radix point, we have

Thus, the exponent is 0x3ff plus 1, or 0x400 and ignoring the leading 1, we write each subsequent group of four bits as a single hexadecimal character: 921fb54442d18, so the representation is 400921fb54442d18.

## 2 Infinity and not-a-number

Any number larger than  $10^{50}$  and  $2^{1024}$ , respectively, is stored as  $+\infty$ , which is represented as +990000 and 7ff000000000000, respectively. Similarly,  $-\infty$  is represented with -990000 and fff000000000000, respectively, where for double, the leading bit is now 1.

These are used to represent any real number too large in magnitude to store in the corresponding representation. When a computation is *undefined* (for example,  $\frac{0}{0}$ ,  $0 \cdot \infty$  or  $\infty - \infty$ ), we represent this with a value called not-a-number or NaN, and it has the first digit or bit of the mantissa set to 1 when the exponent is the largest possible, so +991000 and 7ff80000000000, respectively. Remember that 0x8 is 0b1000.

## 3 Zero and subnormal numbers

Note, subnormal numbers used to be referred to as denormalized numbers.

If the exponent is 00 or  $0\times000$ , respectively, then we will allow the leading digit to be zero, so the number will not be recorded using scientific notation. In each case, the multiplier is  $10^{-49}$  and  $2^{-1022}$ , respectively. Thus, the smallest non-zero number that can be represented is  $0.001 \times 10^{-49} = 10^{-53}$  and

Of course, if all digits in a subnormal number are zero, then this equals  $0.0 \times 2^{-1022}$  which equals zero.

One interesting point is that we have signed zeros, because ever real number greater than  $5 \times 10^{-54}$  rounds up to be represented as +000001, while all real numbers in the interval  $[0, 10^{-54}]$  round down to zero and are thus represented by +000000. Similarly, -000000 represents all real numbers in the interval  $[-10^{-54}, 0]$ . Similarly, while all real numbers greater than  $2^{-1075}$  round up to  $2^{-1074}$ , and are thus represented as 0x0000000000001, all real numbers in the interval  $[0, 2^{-1075}]$  would round down to zero and thus be represented by 0x0000000000000. Similarly, all real numbers in the interval  $[-2^{-1075}, 0]$  would be represented by -0 or 0x8000000000000000.

# 4 Changing sign

To take the absolute value of a double, make the first bit a 0. If the format is using hexadecimal digits, the number is negative if the first digit is 8 through f, and to make such a number positive, subtract 8 from that first digit.

To multiply a double by -1, flip the first bit, or calculate the exclusive-or of the representation and 0b100000...0. If the format is using hexadecimal digits, if the number is less than eight, add 8 to make it negative, otherwise subtract 8 to make it positive.

## 5 Comparing two representations

The beauty of both representations here is that if two numbers are positive, we can tell which is largest simply by looking at the two numbers as if they were positive integers. For example, given +796947, +533271, +332311, +976241, +847034, +599938, +827005, we can sort these simply by placing them in order as if they were integers: +332311, +533271, +599938, +796947, +847034, +827005, and +976241. Similarly,

0x3fe97759468dee08 0x3f98dbe806f65de0 0x3fb81d838252f0a8 0x3fee331238629281 0x3fe25841e8a9614a 0x3feeeda367d96252 0x3fed29923ed54883 0x3fd967402bd818c4

are all positive, and looking at the exponents, they are all between  $2^{-6}$  and 1 because the smallest exponent is 0x3f9 which is six less than 0x3ff. To sort them, we just sort them as if they were hexadecimal integers:

0x3f98dbe806f65de0 0x3fb81d838252f0a8 0x3fd967402bd818c4 0x3fe25841e8a9614a 0x3fe97759468dee08 0x3fed29923ed54883 0x3fee331238629281 0x3feeeda367d96252

The first three are sorted because the first two digits are equal and 9 < b < d < e, the next three are sorted because the first three digits are equal and 2 < 9 < d < e, and finally the last two are sorted because the first four digits are equal and 3 < e.

This works because the exponents determine the magnitude, and by using a bias, the exponents are stored in such a way that smaller most values in the most digits imply smaller values. If two exponents are the same, then the mantissas determine which of the numbers is smaller.

For example, all +49NMMM (1.000 up to 9.999) are less than +501000 (= 10), and they are also all greater than 489999 (= 0.9999).

# 6 Arithmetic

Here, we will give some basic examples of floating-point arithmetic using both our  $\pm \text{EENMMM}$  and double representations.

### 6.1 Adding two numbers with the same sign with the same exponent

When adding two numbers with the same exponent, we simply add the leading digit and mantissa as per normal. The answer must be written in scientific notation to the required number of digits. For example, adding +358559 and +354716, we have

$$+ \begin{array}{ccc} 8.559 & \times 10^{35-49} \\ 4.716 & \times 10^{35-49} \\ \hline 13.275 & \times 10^{35-49} \end{array}$$

and rounded to four digits (raising the odd 7 because all subsequent digits are  $500\cdots$ ) and shifting the decimal point, we get  $1.328 \times 10^{(35+1)-49}$ , so we store +361328. You'll notice we didn't even have to calculate the actual exponent of the solution, which would be  $1.328 \times 10^{-13}$ .

As another example, when adding 0x38e5f0...0 and 0x38ea20...0, we have

 $+ \underbrace{\begin{array}{ccc} 1.01011111 & \times 2^{0 \times 38 e - 0 \times 3 \text{ff}} \\ + & \underbrace{1.10100010 & \times 2^{0 \times 38 e - 0 \times 3 \text{ff}} \\ \hline 11.00000001 & \times 2^{0 \times 38 e - 0 \times 3 \text{ff}} \end{array}}_{}$ 

and given that this is significantly below 53 bits, we don't need to round, but to write it in scientific notation, we must shift the radix point to get  $1.10000001 \times 2^{(0\times 38e+1)-0\times 3ff}$ . Recalling that we do not store the leading 1, we break the mantissa into groups of four bits starting from the radix point, 1000 0000 1000 ... to see that the result is now  $0\times 38f8080\ldots 0$ .

Matlab

You can test this in MATLABAS follows:

```
format long
a = hex2num( '38e5f' ) % Matlab assumes all remaining hexadecimal digits are 0
a = 1.320315254843593e-34
```

```
b = hex2num( '38ea2' )
    b = 1.572341243659892e-34
c = a + b
    c = 2.892656498503485e-34
format hex
c
    c = 38f808000000000
```

Test yourself by adding 0x5e3710...0 and 0x5e3ed0...0, and check your answer in MATLAB.

#### 6.2 Adding two numbers with the same sign with nearby exponents

When adding two numbers with different but nearby exponents, we must first get the same multiplier before we add. Again, the answer must be written in scientific notation to the required number of digits. For example, adding +579453 and +593148, we have

9.453 
$$\times 10^{57-49}$$
  
+ 3.148  $\times 10^{59-49}$ 

To get the same exponent, we add two to the first exponent, meaning we must shift the decimal point two to the left (for example,  $3.5 \times 10^3 = 0.035 \times 10^5$ ):

$$+ \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 0.09453 \times 10^{59-49} \\ 3.148 \times 10^{59-49} \\ \hline 3.24253 \times 10^{59-49} \end{array}}_{3.24253}$$

and rounded to four digits (raising the 2 because the next digit is 5 but not  $500 \cdots$ ), we store +593243. You'll notice we didn't even have to calculate the actual exponent of the solution, which would be  $3.243 \times 10^{10}$ .

As another example, when adding 0x5a6e70...0 and 0x5a2830...0, we have

 $\begin{array}{rrr} 1.11100111 & \times 2^{0\text{x5a6}-0\text{x3ff}} \\ + & 1.10000011 & \times 2^{0\text{x5a2}-0\text{x3ff}} \end{array}$ 

We observe that the exponents differ by 4, and so to get a common multiplier, we add 4 to the second exponent and move the radix point to the left by four:

$$+ \underbrace{\begin{array}{rrr} 1.11100111 \\ 0.000110000011 \\ \hline 1.11111110011 \\ \times 2^{0x5a2+4-0x3ff} \\ \hline 1.111111110011 \\ \times 2^{0x5a6-0x3ff} \\ \end{array}}_{\label{eq:2.2}}$$

and given that this is significantly below 53 bits, we don't need to round. Recalling that we do not store the leading 1, we break the mantissa into groups of four bits starting from the radix point, 1111 1111 0011 to see that the result is now 0x5a6ff30...0.

You can test this in MATLABas follows: format long a = hex2num( '5a6e7' ) % Matlab assumes all remaining hexadecimal digits are 0 a = 4.120758487050894e+127 b = hex2num( '5a283' ) b = 2.046629279374610e+126 c = a + b c = 4.325421414988355e+127 format hex c c = 5a6ff30000000000

Test yourself by adding 0x5e3710...0 and 0x5e3ed0...0, and check your answer in MATLAB.

## 6.3 Adding two numbers with the significantly different exponents

When adding two numbers with significantly exponents, we get into the situation where x + y = x even though y is not zero when  $|x| \gg |y|$  (much greater). For our  $\pm \text{EENMMM}$  representation, the numbers are sufficiently different if the exponents differ by 5 or more. For example, adding +873215 and +793104, we see that the difference in the exponents is  $8 \ge 5$ , so the result is the larger of the two numbers in absolute value, which is the first: +873215.

For double, this difference in exponent is 54, so for example, when adding 0x2482040...0 and 0xb5fece0...0, we first note the second number is negative, so its absolute value is 0x35fece0...0. We next note the difference in exponents is greater than 0x100, and  $16^2 > 10^2 \ge 54$ , so the result must be the larger of the two original numbers in absolute value: 0xb5fece0...0.

```
Vou can test this in MATLABas follows:
format long
a = hex2num('248204') % Matlab assumes all remaining hexadecimal digits are 0
a = 7.931608219673450e-133
b = hex2num('b5fece')
b = -1.317338906486691e-48
c = a + b
c = -1.317338906486691e-48
format hex
c
c = b5fece0000000000
```

Test yourself by adding 0xaf1f320...0 and 0xe2131e0...0, and check your answer in MATLAB.

Remark

You would never be tested on an example where the difference between the two exponents is close to 54: either the difference will be small (no more than 9) or obviously larger than 54.

#### 6.4 Adding a larger positive number onto a smaller negative number

As x + (-y) = x - y, adding a smaller negative number onto a larger positive number can be calculated the same way that you learned subtraction in elementary school. For example, adding +237532 and -219548, we have

$$7.532 \times 10^{23-49} - 9.548 \times 10^{21-49}$$

To get the same exponent, we add two to the second exponent, meaning we must shift the decimal point two to the left:

$$- \begin{array}{ccc} 7.532 & \times 10^{23-49} \\ - 0.09548 & \times 10^{23-49} \\ \hline 7.43652 & \times 10^{23-49} \end{array}$$

and rounded to four digits (raising the 6 because the next digit is 5 but not  $500 \cdots$ ), we store +237437. You'll notice we didn't even have to calculate the actual exponent of the solution, which would be  $7.437 \times 10^{-12}$ .

As another example, when adding 0x2e7530...0 and 0xae4f1...0, we note the second number is negative, so its absolute value can be found by subtracting 8 from the first hexadecimal digit to get 0x2e4f1...0, so we are calculating:

We observe that the exponents differ by 3, and so to get a common multiplier, we add 3 to the second exponent and move the radix point to the left by three:

$$- \underbrace{ \begin{array}{ccc} 1.01010011 & \times 2^{0} \times 2^{0} - 0 \times 3 \text{ ff} \\ 0.00111110001 & \times 2^{0} \times 2^{0} \times 2^{0} + 3 - 0 \times 3 \text{ ff} \\ \hline 1.00010100111 & \times 2^{0} \times 2^{0} - 0 \times 3 \text{ ff} \end{array} }_{}$$

Recalling that we do not store the leading 1, we break the mantissa into groups of four bits starting from the radix point, 0001 0100 1110 to see that the result is now 0x2e714e0...0.

If you missed what happened with the subtraction, recall that with subtraction, if the digit being subtracted is larger than the digit being subtracted from, then you must *borrow* from the digit to the left. If that is zero, you must first borrow from the next digit, and so on. For binary, however, when you borrow, the 10 is actually 2, so 2 - 1 = 1, and when you borrow from 10, you get 1, again, because it is base 2.

MATLAB

```
You can test this in MATLABas follows:
format long
a = hex2num( '2e753' ) % Matlab assumes all remaining hexadecimal digits are 0
    a = 4.120758487050894e+127
b = hex2num( 'ae4f1' )
    b = 2.046629279374610e+126
c = a + b
    c = 4.325421414988355e+127
format hex
c
    c = 5a6ff3000000000
```

Test yourself by adding 0x5e3710...0 and 0xde2ed0...0, and check your answer in MATLAB.

#### 6.5 Adding a smaller positive number onto a larger negative number

As x + (-y) = x - y, adding a larger negative number onto a smaller positive number can be calculated the same way that you learned subtraction in elementary school. However, if you need to calculate x - y when y > x, you used the rule that -(x - y) = y - x, so you calculated y - x and negated the result. For example, adding +842354 and -879326, we have

To get the same exponent, we add three to the first exponent, meaning we must shift the decimal point three to the left:

$$\begin{array}{rrr} 0.002354 & \times 10^{87-49} \\ - & 9.326 & \times 10^{87-49} \end{array}$$

The first number is smaller in absolute value, so instead, we will calculate:

$$- \begin{array}{c} 9.326 \times 10^{87-49} \\ - 0.002354 \times 10^{87-49} \\ \hline 9.323646 \times 10^{87-49} \end{array}$$

and rounded to four digits (raising the 3 because the next digit is a 6), we store -879324. You'll notice we didn't even have to calculate the actual exponent of the solution, which would be  $9.324 \times 10^{38}$ .

As another example, when adding  $0 \times 953090...0$  and  $0 \times 14fe8...0$ , we note the first number is negative, so its absolute value can be found by subtracting 8 from the first hexadecimal digit to get  $0 \times 153090...0$ , so we subtracting the first number from the second:

$$\begin{array}{rrrr} 1.11101000 & \times 2^{0\times 14f-0\times 3ff} \\ - & 1.00001001 & \times 2^{0\times 153-0\times 3ff} \end{array}$$

We observe that the exponents differ by 4 (0x14f + 1 = 0x150, 0x14f + 2 = 0x151, etc.), and so to get a common multiplier, we add 4 to the first exponent and move the radix point to the left by four:

We see now that we are subtracting a larger number from a smaller number, so instead of calculating x - y, we will calculate y - x and then negate the result:

 $- \begin{array}{c} 1.00001001 \\ - 0.000111101000 \\ \hline 0.111010101 \\ \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} 2^{0 \times 153 - 0 \times 3 \text{ff}} \\ \times 2^{0 \times 14f + 4 - 0 \times 3 \text{ff}} \\ \hline \end{array}$ 

First we must write this in scientific notation, so we must move the radix point one to the right, so we subtract 1 from the exponent to get  $1.11010101 \times 2^{(0 \times 153 - 1) - 0 \times 3}$  Mow, the exponent is  $0 \times 152$ , and recalling we do not store the leading 1, we break the mantissa into groups of four bits starting from the radix point, 1101 0101 to see that the result is now  $0 \times 152$  d5...0, but we must negate this, so we add 8 to the first digit to get  $0 \times 952$  d5...0.

```
MATLAB
```

```
You can test this in MATLABas follows:
format long
a = hex2num( '95309' ) % Matlab assumes all remaining hexadecimal digits are 0
a = -1.289700518574636e-206
```

```
b = hex2num( '14fe8' )
    b = 1.484372294963261e-207
c = a + b
    c = -1.141263289078310e-206
format hex
c
    c = 952d50000000000
```

Test yourself by adding 0x313a30...0 and 0xb15210...0, and check your answer in MATLAB. Also, try adding these two pairs of numbers: 0x3ff320...0 and 0xbff4a0...0; and 0x3fff90...0 and 0xbff7d0...0.

#### Remark

To create your own examples for addition, choose two random integers with values between 128 and 256. Then, multiply the first by  $2^n$  where n is any value between -500 and 500, and then multiply the second by  $2^{n+k}$  where k is a value between -5 and 5.

```
format hex
           % The ';' suppresses the output
a = 123;
b = 214;
a = a * 2^{-57};
b = b*2^{-54};
а
    a = 3ccec0000000000
-a
   ans = bccec0000000000
b
   b = 3d0ac000000000
-b
   ans = bd0ac0000000000
a + b
   ans = 3d0cac000000000
a + (-b)
   ans = bd08d4000000000
(-a) + (-b) % = -(a + b)
   ans = bd0cac000000000
(-a) + b % = -(a + (-b))
    ans = 3d08d400000000
```

## 6.6 Multiplying two numbers with small exponents

First, we check the signs of both x and y, and if they are both either positive or both negative, the result will be positive; otherwise, the result will be negative.

Next, we will calculate |x||y|, where we will multiply the two significands and add the exponents, perhaps shifting the exponent to get the result in scientific notation.

For example, multiplying +523240 and -487610, first, only one is negative, so the product will be negative. Next, we are calculating  $(3.240 \times 10^3) \times (7.610 \times 10^{-1})$ , so the result will be multiplied by  $10^{3+(-1)} = 10^2$ . Next, performing the multiplication, we have

 $\begin{array}{r} 3.240 \\ \times 7.610 \\ 32400 \\ 1944000 \\ +22680000 \\ 24656400 \end{array}$ 

and counting the digits after the decimal point, we get that the answer is  $24.656400 \times 10^2$ . We round the answer to four digits, and to get the result into scientific notation, we move the radix point one the left, and therefore add one to the exponent:  $2.466 \times 10^3$ , so our answer is -522466.

Remark

Any question asking you to multiply two doubles will have exponents that are close to 0, so between -10 and 10, so you may see exponents between 3f5 and 409 or, if they are negative numbers, between bf5 and c09.

As another example, when multiply 0xc0390...0 and 0xbfdb0...0, we note both numbers are negative, so the result will be positive. Next, we note that the two exponents are  $2^4$  and  $2^{-2}$ , so we will multiply the product of the significands by  $2^{4+(-2)} = 2^2$ . Next, we multiply the significands:

1.1001
$\times 1.1011$
11001
110010
11001000
+110010000
1010100011

Counting the digits to the right of the radix point, we get the result is  $10.10100011 \times 10^2$  and to convert this into scientific notation, we move the radix point one the left and add one to the exponent to get  $1.010100011 \times 10^3$ . The exponent is 0x3ff + 3 = 0x402. Recalling we do not store the leading 1, we break the mantissa into groups of four bits starting from the radix point,  $0101\ 0001\ 1000\ to$  see that the result is now  $0x4025180\ldots 0$ .

MATLAB You can test this in MATLABas follows: format long a = hex2num( 'c039' ) % Matlab assumes all remaining hexadecimal digits are 0 a = -25 b = hex2num( 'bfdb' )

```
b = -0.421875
c = a*b
c = 10.546875
format hex
c
c = 402518000000000
```

Test yourself by adding 0xbfe280...0 and 0xbfd340...0, and check your answer in MATLAB.

```
Remark
To create your own examples for multiplication, choose two random integers with values between 16
and 31. Then, multiply each by a power of two where the power falls between -14 and 4.
format hex
a = 23;
            \% The ';' suppresses the output
b = 19;
a = a * 2^3;
b = b * 2^{-7};
а
    a = 406700000000000
-a
    ans = c06700000000000
b
    b = 3fc300000000000
-b
    ans = bfc30000000000
    % = (-a)*(-b)
a*b
    ans = 403b5000000000
a*(-b) % = (-a)*b = -(a*b)
    ans = c03b5000000000
```

# 7 Acknowledgements

Aviral Gupta, who noted the exponent of 1970 was not correctly calculated for the hexadecimal representation.